

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.

10/008,601

Applicant(s)

MABRY ET AL.

Examiner

Robin A. Hylton

Art Unit

3727

All Participants:

(1) Robin A. Hylton.

(2) Jeffery B. Arnold.

Status of Application: allowable

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 10 March 2004

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

☒ Telephonic

☐ Video Conference

☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

35 USC 112, 2nd paragraph

Claims discussed:

45 and 53

Prior art documents discussed:

n/a

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: It was agreed to add the language of the "whereby" clause of claim 53 into claim 45 since the language of the specification is not read into claims. Additionally, it was discussed to change "whereby" in claim 53 to -- wherein --. No agreement for this change was reached. Since the "whereby" phrase is given patentable weight and the function of the phrase is read into the claim as a limitation, the change has not been made.